# TEACHING QUANTUM **MECHANICS**



Dr. Peter Dong Illinois Mathematics and Science Academy – Friday, February 28, 2014

## Why quantum mechanics?

- Quantum mechanics is essential to all fields of physics and many engineering fields (e.g., semiconductors and nanotechnology)
- The twentieth-century view of the universe necessitated by quantum mechanics is something nonphysicists should know as well
- People are fascinated by modern physics concepts (e.g. *A Brief History of Time* or *The Elegant Universe*) No one sells books about torque





### Current events

- □ AP Physics B contains 10% atomic and nuclear structure – which means it has less quantum mechanics than AP Chemistry (20%)
- □ Serway's book spends about a sixth of the book on modern physics (often skipped, since it is at the end)
- □ NGSS has one relevant standard:

 HS-PS4-3: Evaluate the claims, evidence, and reasoning behind behind the idea that electromagnetic radiation can be described either by a wave model or a particle model, and that for some situations one model is more useful than the other.



### Lessons from IMSA

- Modern Physics offered as a one-semester class (with lots of quantum mechanics included)
- When the difficulty increased, enrollment also increased
- Students responded strongly:
	- "I had my mind blown every class"
	- "This is the most interesting class I've ever taken"
	- "ModPhys was the highlight of my day"
	- **Sum** "Before this semester, I hated physics, but now, that hate has subsided and I actually find myself interested enough to pay attention, take notes, do my homework, and look up other resources in my free time."
		- Two students said they decided to become physics majors because of this class



### Quantum Mechanics

- Quantized energy levels of atoms in the Bohr model are the most applicable part of quantum mechanics, but:
	- They aren't that exciting
	- Chemistry already does that part
- Many students love the weirdness of quantum mechanics
- The most interesting part of quantum mechanics is not uncertainty
	- People are used to being unsure
	- We are not used to our observations changing the behavior of the universe



### The standard curriculum

(From Serway/Faughn, 7th edition)

- □ Blackbody radiation < **Requires advanced thermodynamics**
- □ The photoelectric effect <del>< \_\_</del> Requires circuits
- □ X-rays <del>< And</del> Nothing to do with quantum mechanics
- □ X-ray diffraction <del>< H</del>ard to explain
- $\Box$  The Compton effect  $\iff$  Not useful for deeper understanding
- □ Wave-particle duality **< The important part!**
- □ The wavefunction <del>↓ The important part!</del>
- □ Heisenberg's uncertainty principle **< Poorly explained**
- $\Box$  Scanning-tunneling electron microscopes
- □ The Bohr model <del>< Covered by AP Chemistry</del>
- $\Box$  The hydrogen atom
- □ Spin <del>← The important part!</del>
- Semiconductors





### Proposal

□ Why go in chronological order? We don't teach any other physics that way  $\Box$  Skip the boring stuff – kids don't get it anyway  $\Box$  Jump right into the interesting stuff: The wavefunction and measurement Compatible and incompatible observables □ Focus on the easiest QM systems: The double-slit Spin

□ For students who like to talk about such things, spend some time on the philosophy



### The double slit

- $\Box$  This example best explains the mechanism of quantum mechanics
	- Show that light is a wave with an interference pattern (lab)
	- Mention (or show, if you want) that Einstein found light is a particle
	- Ask: what happens if you shoot only one particle at a time at slits?
	- Show YouTube video of actual experiment
	- Discuss why this is weird
	- Add sensors to see which slit the particle passed through – show how interference disappears
- See attached talk at the end of this presentation





#### Wavefunctions and measurement

- $\Box$  The fundamental difference of quantum mechanics is that you cannot write any expression such as *x* = 3 m
- $\Box$  You can only give probabilities of being at a particular place
- $\Box$  The probabilities are represented by an (unobservable) wavefunction
- $\Box$  The strangest part when we make a measurement, the wavefunction collapses to the value we measured, thus changing its behavior
- $\Box$  Our observation affects the behavior of the universe!





# The fun part

- □ Classes who enjoy discussions can spend a long time on big questions:
	- How can our observation affect reality?
	- What is a measurement?
	- Is the universe fundamentally probabilistic?
	- Is consciousness necessary to induce a measurement?
- □ And, if you dare:
	- What implications does a probabilistic universe have for free will?
	- Is consciousness just a series of random quantum measurements that give the semblance of purpose?
	- Is it easier or harder to reconcile quantum mechanics with an intervening God?



### More advanced topics

 $\Box$  For those with the time and inclination, there is much more quantum mechanics that can be explored without any fancy mathematics.



#### Incompatible observables

- The center of the weirdness of quantum mechanics
- □ Measurements of two incompatible observables are mutually inconsistent – knowledge of one invalidates knowledge of the other.
- For example, if you measure the *x* spin of a particle, then measure the *y* spin, then measure the *x* spin again, you may get a different answer
- Position and momentum are incompatible observables – hence, the Heisenberg uncertainty principle



### The Heisenberg uncertainty principle

- A fundamental result of quantum mechanics – nothing to do with experimental error
- $\Box$  There is a limit to how sure we can be of position and momentum *simultaneously*
- □ You can measure position as well as you want, and then measure momentum as well as you want
- $\Box$  However, if you then measure position again, it will likely be different from what you measured before



know how fast I was going. But I know exactly where I am.



# Spin

- □ A good illustration of incompatible observables
- A fundamental, quantized amount of angular momentum intrinsic to all particles
- Simplest example: spin-½
	- When you measure spin along a certain axis, it can only be up or down – nothing else
- Spin along one axis cannot be known at the same time as spin along any other axis
	- Suppose you measure *z* spin to be spin up
	- Then you measure *y* spin to be spin up
	- If you measure *z* spin again, you might get spin down instead of spin up (50% chance)
		- Measuring a spin "resets" the spins in the other directions





#### Stern-Gerlach devices

- $\Box$  One way (from Feynman) to discuss quantum mechanical principles is through Stern-Gerlach devices – devices which measure spin
- □ Thus, SG-z means that you measure the spin in the z direction
- □ As you can see, in this case you would have no particles coming out.



#### Stern-Gerlach devices

 $\Box$  However, a measurement of x spin, which does not commute with z spin, makes the previous measurement no longer valid  $\Box$  Thus, our measurement changes the outcome.



### Stern-Gerlach fun

□ Many students enjoy working out larger, more complex Stern-Gerlach networks These aren't too applicable to physics, but they can be fun





# QUANTUM MECHANICS

## What is quantum mechanics?

 $\Box$  The good news: Quantum mechanics is the only theory we have that explains our experiments

 $\Box$  The bad news: Quantum mechanics makes no sense





### The double-slit experiment

- Suppose we shoot particles through two slits at a screen on the other side
- □ The particles will collect in two rows on the screen
- So far, so good





### The double-slit experiment

- □ Suppose we do the same thing with waves (e.g. water waves)
- $\Box$  Now waves from the two slits interfere with each other
- □ Get a series of light and dark rows on the screen





# Light

- Is light a particle or a wave?
- Thomas Young showed in 1801 that light has a double-slit interference pattern like a wave
- Albert Einstein showed in 1905 that light had to be composed of particles (photons)





### The weird part

- □ What if we shot only one photon at a time through the slits?
- □ Should be impossible to interfere should get two rows on the screen
- $\Box$  Here is a video of a real experiment.



# Huh?

 $\Box$  Even though only one particle goes through the slits at one time, we still see interference! □ A photon interferes with itself?  $\Box$  Each photon goes through both slits?



## Trying to understand

- □ Okay, a photon can only go through one slit or the other
- Put sensors in to figure out which slit it went through





### The even weirder part

- □ The sensors do their job: the photon shows up in only one slit or the other…
- But the interference pattern disappears!





## What?

□ This means that our measurement changes the result of our experiment!





### The Copenhagen interpretation

- $\Box$  A particle is actually not at a particular position; it has a wavefunction that gives a probability of being at a position
- D When we make a measurement, we measure only one position, chosen at random



## Wave-particle duality

□ This means that:

- Particles actually behave as waves
- But we measure them as particles
- Or, if you prefer:
	- Particles propagate as waves but interact as particles
- Or, more simply:

Particles act like waves when we aren't looking



### What this means

 $\Box$  A measurement is a fundamentally different physical process No mathematical representation The only truly random process The only truly irreversible process What is a measurement, anyway? The interaction of a microscopic system with a macroscopic one? The transfer of information? The intrusion of human consciousness?



### Measuring a measurement

- Can't we do an experiment to find out more about what a measurement is?
- Not easily an experiment needs a measurement, and we can't take a measurement of a measurement
- We are asking about what happens before we measure it – can we ever know that? Does it even make sense to ask?





### The end of science?

- Measurement is fundamental to the scientific method
- $\Box$  Thus, it's not clear if science can tell us anything about measurement itself
- Quantum mechanics has at its heart the old question: if a tree falls in a forest…
- □ But who knows? We may figure something out

