


Fall 2015

Sequencing Techniques: A Comparison Assignment

Sarah O'Leary-Driscoll

Illinois Mathematics and Science Academy, soleary@imsa.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.imsa.edu/bioinfo_sequencing

 Part of the [Bioinformatics Commons](#), [Curriculum and Instruction Commons](#), and the [Science and Mathematics Education Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

O'Leary-Driscoll, S. (2015). Sequencing Techniques: A Comparison Assignment.

Retrieved from: http://digitalcommons.imsa.edu/bioinfo_sequencing/5

This Summarize, Review, and Practice is brought to you for free and open access by the Bioinformatics at DigitalCommons@IMSA. It has been accepted for inclusion in Sequencing & Genome Mining by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@IMSA. For more information, please contact pgarrett@imsa.edu, jean@imsa.edu.

Sequencing Techniques: A Comparison Assignment

With your partner, create some sort of visual (table, map, chart, other, ask me!) that compares the main types of sequencing that we discussed, as well as two of the techniques considered 'next generation'.

- Basic Sanger Sequencing (the original)
- Cycle Sequencing
- Whole Genome Shotgun

For each method, Include

- Basic process described using both text and figures (make your own)
- Efficiency and limitations
- Comparison to other techniques (in processes and/or efficiency)

Note: These techniques are often modifications of one another, so that can be something that you directly address in your comparison